

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/822,728	ARMBRUSTER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Son M. Tang	2632	

All Participants:

(1) Son M. Tang.

(2) Howard Richman (Attorney).

Status of Application: Allowance

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 10 March 2006

Time: 3:00 p.m.

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

21 and 30

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant authorized the attached Examiner Amendment to place application in condition for allowance. See attached Examiner Amendment for detail.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)